

Resource Management Act 1991

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO THE CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

(FORM 6)

19/231

To: The Chief Executive
Central Otago District Council
PO Box 122
Alexandra 9340

RECEIVED
20/12/2022
CODC

Name of person making further submission

Name: Charles & Nicola Hughes

Postal address: __10 Terrace Street Bannockburn RD 2 Cromwell 9384

(Or alternative method of service under [section 352](#) of the Act)

Phone: 03 4451218

Email: charliehugs76@gmail.com

Contact person: ____Charlie Hughes

(Name & designation, if applicable)

This is a further submission in support of (or in opposition to) a submission on Proposed Plan Change 19 to the Central Otago District Plan.

I am:

1. A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:

..... ; or

2. A person who has an interest in the proposal that is great than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:

**A person who may make a submission under 2. A resident adjoining subject site
Wishing to retain the present urban character of Bannockburn.**

..... ; or
**(Please state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2
above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1
and/or 2)**

3. The local authority for the relevant area.

I oppose) the submission of:

Jones Family Trust & Searell Family Trust co of Town Planning Group PO Box 2559
Queenstown. Submission No 82.
Submission Point
No 1 Rezone site to enable higher densities of residential activities.

No 3 Amend rules LLRZ-S1 and SUB-S1. So that the residential density and subdivision site standards in
the large lot residential zone at Bannockburn is 1000 square metres minimum and 1500 square metres
average.

Attachment A bullet point 3. An area of low density residential zone located within the balance of the site
And within the building line restriction area, or retention of large lot residential with a higher density than 2000
Square metres.

..... on Plan Change 19
*(Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point
number of the original submission)*

The reasons for my opposition are:

The escarpment on the Eastern and Northern boundary within the building line restriction area be free
of any dwellings to preserve the natural landscape and urban character of Bannockburn.

.....
The lot size of 2000 square metres for large lot residential zone for Bannockburn as promoted by plan
change 19 be retained. People are attracted to Bannockburn because of the large residential sites providing
amenity privacy and open space between dwellings.

.....
.....
.....
(Please give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)

I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed:

Retain large lot residential zone minimum area 2000 square metre over site but excluding area within the
building line restriction area.

.....

.....
(Please give precise details)

I wish be heard in support of my further submission.

.....
Signature of person making Further Submission
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)
(A signature is not required if you make a submission by electronic means)

Date:20 December. 2022

Email:charliehugs76@gmail.com.....

Telephone No: 03 4451218

Postal Address: 10 Terrace Street Bannockburn.....
RD 2
Cromwell 9384
.....

Contact Person: Charlie Hughes
(name & designation, if applicable)

Submissions close at 4pm on Tuesday 20 December 2022

Submissions can be emailed to districtplan@codc.govt.nz

Note to person making submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.